

# **European Pharmaceutical Policy**

## Author: Nathalie Moll – EFPIA Director General















## The EFPIA PRIZE Parma, 9<sup>th</sup> April 2018



www.efpia.eu

# **Declaration of Interest**

- Nathalie Moll is a full-time employee of EFPIA, holding the position of **Director General** and is a member of its General Management.
- Nathalie Moll declares having **no direct / indirect financial interest** in any life science company.
- This slide deck includes **EFPIA public policy positions**, unless otherwise indicated.
- When expressing personal opinions, Nathalie will clearly indicate so.









# **EFPIA Mandate**

"The aim of the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries & Associations is to promote pharmaceutical discovery and development in Europe and to bring to the market medicinal products in order to improve human health worldwide."

EFPIA, which has no profit-making purpose, pursues a mainly scientific aim, ensuring and promoting the technological and economic development of the pharmaceutical industry in Europe.

EFPIA's represents the pharmaceutical industry operating in Europe. Its direct membership includes 33 national associations and 40+ leading companies. Two specialised groups within EFPIA represent vaccine manufacturers – Vaccines Europe - VE, with 12 member companies and European Bio-pharmaceutical Enterprises – EBE with 50+ member companies.

"Partners in Research" is constituted of non-pharma companies that collaborate in the IMI public-private membership. This constituent entity, created in June 2014, counts 15+ members.









www.efpia.eu

# **Background to Public Policy that is relevant to Healthcare**







# The world population is growing larger and older with increasing morbidity and spending projected to double in just over 10 years



Source: Projections from UN; WHO; Projected Global Healthcare Spend, expressed in nominal terms | Source: Economist intelligence Unit, World Bank, Global Insights, BMI, OECD, McKinsey Strategy & Trend Analytic Center

# Over the last 65 years, Europe has made great strides in improving life expectancy (+ 23-22 %)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

Countries included are Belarus Channel, Islands, Albania Austria Bulgaria, Denmark, Andorra, Belgium, Czech Republic Estonia Bosnia and Herzegovina, France, Hungary, Faeroe Islands, Croatia Germany Poland Finland Gibraltar, Liechtenstein, Republic of Moldova, Iceland, Greece, Luxembourg, Romania, Ireland Russian Federation Italy, Netherlands, Slovakia, Latvia, Malta Switzerland Ukraine Lithuania Montenegro, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, San Marino, United Kingdom of Great Serbia, Britain and Northern Slovenia, Ireland, Spain, The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia. Source: United Nations: World Population Prospects – The 2012 Revision (2014) accessed via the United Nation database on life expectancy at birth (accessed in August 2017);

![](_page_5_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_7.jpeg)

# However, wide variations in health outcomes remain across Europe, amounting to almost a decade of life expectancy

## Life expectancy at birth – 2016

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

bia Source: World Health Organization (WHO): Database on life expectancy (accessed 2018); The World Bank: Database on life expectancy at birth (accessed 2016)

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Cumulative differences in life expectancy between each life country highest and expectancy amounts to over 1.22 billion life years.
- variations While are most observable with countries that joint the EU 14 years ago, wide variations also exist between highest life countries with expectancy.

## Demographic changes and higher longevity cause major health challenges for Europe

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Sources: \*European Commission (2015). The Aging report. <sup>+</sup>Freddie Bray et al (2012). "Global cancer transition according to human index a population based study". Lancet oncology. 13:8. Available at: http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanonc/article/PIIS1470-2045(12)70211-5/abstract

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Ill-health is a major cause of productivity loss and early labour market exit, with many causes being addressable

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Note: Percentage of people that were previously employed and answered the main reason for leaving their job was 'Own illness or disability"

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

Source: European Commission: Health of People of Working Age(2011); European Commission: Health Systems and Health care in the EU (2012)

# Life expectancy continues to improve today and medicines usage has made major contribution to recent advances

## Contribution of innovative medicines to increase in life expectancy (2004-2009)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

- From 2000 2009, an improvement in population weighted mean life expectancy at birth of 1.74 years was seen across 30 OECD countries.
- Innovative medicines are estimated to have contributed to 73% of this improvement once other factors are taken into account (e.g. income, education, immunization, reduction in risk factors, health system access).

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Progress in the treatment of HIV/AIDS has contributed to a significant** decline in death rates

### Number of deaths among Aids cases in Europe (Total EU/EEA) -75% 0 +

Source: HIV/AIDS surveillance in Europe 2013, WHO Regional Office for Europe & European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), November 2014 cited in EFPIA, the pharmaceutical industry in figures (2015).

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Medicines offer an opportunity to reduce the cost of productivity loss and disability by improving workforce health

### €200 €169 €169

Economic burden of cancer per capita (in 2014 prices), 1995-2014 in Europe

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

Notes: "Total Healthcare Costs" = direct health cost of cancer; "Lost Productivity cost" = productivity loss due to premature mortality from cancer Cancer is defined as ICD-10 C00-D48 for direct health costs, and C00-C97,B21 for productivity loss.

Source: graph left hand side: IHE comparator report; graph right hand slide Mitchell, R & Bates, P. (2011) in *Population health management*, 14(2), 2011, 93-98.

# Estimated 20-40% inefficiencies in health systems, with practice variation accounting for half of this

HC inefficiencies (%)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Note: 10-15% of medicine costs resulting in 2-5% of total HC costs Source: "The World Health Report: Health Systems Financing, The path to universal coverage," WHO, 2010 (`+Background paper 28)

# **Collecting standardised health outcomes data and learning from** variation could improve healthcare value and reduce waste

## **Description of the component**

1	Identify target population (e.g. disease groups)	<ul> <li>Focus on disease groups and other relevant population sub-segments</li> <li>Identify patients based on their healthcare needs, behaviors, etc. to prevent and manage illness, rather than simply treat disease</li> </ul>				
2	Define target outcomes	<ul> <li>Define target outcomes to improve care and reduce costs</li> <li>That matter to patients and clinicians, balanced along full cycle of care - prevention and cure, comparable, linked to population</li> </ul>				
3	<ul> <li>Measure and learn from variation</li> <li>Monitor outcomes and learn from variation to improve</li> <li>Establish registries, inter-operable data systems across providers real-time measuring, transparency of outcomes, etc.</li> </ul>					
4	Define treatment pathway with coordinated delivery	<ul> <li>Define treatment pathway around the patient vs. provider, enabling coordinated delivery across all stakeholders</li> <li>New models need to be based on the patient along the care chain, vs. single procedure or single episode of care</li> </ul>				
5	Align payments and incentives	<ul> <li>Ensure reimbursement models enable value focus including outcomes along full cycle of care</li> <li>Payments aligned to providers' collective performance against target outcomes, instead of promoting price and volume. Ensure incentive design does not promote unwanted behaviors (e.g. hiding bad results)</li> <li>Gradual transfer of risk to providers</li> </ul>				

## Contrib. to value

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Identify which health** outcomes are needed for a healthy population

**Improve to achieve** target outcomes at minimum cost

### Whole-person focus also reduce waste • from coordination

### utcomes

inst target incentive bad

![](_page_13_Figure_11.jpeg)

Align stakeholders to achieve previous goals

![](_page_13_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **The Pharma Industry in Europe**

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

# PHARMA INDUSTRY IN EUROPE: Key Economic Indicators

	INDUSTRY (EFPIA total)	2000	2010	<b>2015</b>	2016
	Production	127,504	199,400	238,437	250,000 (e)
	Exports (1) (2)	90,935	276,357	365,303	375,000 (e)
	Imports	68,841	204,824	269,012	275,000 (e)
<b>E</b> .s	Trade balance	22,094	71,533	96,291	100,000 (e)
	R&D expenditure	17,849	27,920	33,557	35,000 (e)
823	Employment (units)	554,186	670,088	739,499	745,000 (e)
	R&D employment (units)	88,397	117,035	113,713	115,000 (e)
	Total pharmaceutical market value at ex-factory prices	89,449	153,685	193,742	202,000 (e)
	Payment for pharmaceuticals by statutory health insurance systems (ambulatory care only)	76,909	129,464	131,685	134,000 (e)

Source: EFPIA in Figures 2017, www.efpia.eu

efpia

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **BREAKDOWN OF THE WORLD PHARMACEUTICAL MARKET – 2016 sales**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

Note: Europe includes Turkey, Russia and Ukraine; percentages might not add up due to rounding Source: IMS Health (MIDAS), May 2017 (data relate to the 2016 audited global retail and hospital pharmaceutical market at ex-factory prices); in EFPIA in Figures 2017, www.efpia.eu

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

www.efpia.eu

~~ ~

Japan

8.3%

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Overall medicines across Europe represent less than 15 % of total** expenditure although variances exist between therapy areas

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

efpia

Source: \* OECD Health Statistics Database (accessed 2013); † A.T. Kearney analysis (2012); <sup>A</sup> Schwarzkop et al. (2010); <sup>#</sup> Damm el al. (2012)

# **Medicines contribution to disease cost**

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

0PD†	Diabetes <sup>†</sup>	CHF <sup>†</sup>	Alzhei- mers∆	Prostate Cancer <sup>♯</sup>
21%	8%	6%	9%	34%
30%	22%	64%	11%	31%
22%	35%	18%	76%	N/A
14%	20%	6%	1%	2%
4%	15%	5%	3%	34%

\* 18

# **BREAKDOWN OF THE RETAIL PRICE OF A MEDICINE** Non-weighted average for Europe

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

Note: Based on non-weighted average for Europe (average estimate for 23 countries) Source: EFPIA Member Associations; in EFPIA in Figures 2017, www.efpia.eu

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

State (VAT and other taxes) 10.0%

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

www.efpia.eu

# **Consensus of Interest Models**: Integrating policy thinking on elements that will result in win-wins

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

Thriving **Eco-System** 

www.efpia.eu

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Health data is a key driver to improve patient outcomes and health systems quality

# Big Data opportunities exist to improve health outcomes...

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

Big Data for Better Outcomes !

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

# ... while contributing to system sustainability

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Improved outcomes
- Reduced variation
- Reduced medical cost

## Improved health care systems

# Improving outcomes is core to EFPIA's overall strategy

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Better Health Outcomes**

# **Priority: Improving health outcomes in chronic and**

**Ambition**: Increase healthy life years and reduce hospitalisation rates in chronic disease by 10% by 2020

 Standards of care grounded in evidence-based models derived from comparable data on

 Chronic disease management programmes through benchmarks and 'best-in-class patient'

Development of health delivery infrastructure in line with **best-practice standards**  Full industry support and expertise with new technologies, supporting multi-stakeholder

# Member States joint initiatives: voluntary cooperation at EU level

### • **BeNeLuxA** (42.3 M citizens)

- Start date: September 2015
- Countries involved: Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg, Austria, [Ireland is also also interested]  $\bullet$
- Collaboration facilitates various activities such as horizon scanning, REA/HTA, joint market research •
- The focus is currently on joint pricing negotiations. ullet
- •

•

## **Valletta Declaration** (156 M citizens)

- Start date: May 2017  $\bullet$
- Countries involved: Italy, Cyprus, Greece, Malta, Portugal, Spain, Ireland, Romania, Slovenia, [Croatia also interested]  $\bullet$
- The aim is to jointly act on topics such as horizon scanning, REA/HTA, joint pricing negotiations, joint public ulletprocurement and contracting
- The focus is currently on joint pricing negotiations. ullet

### **Visegrad+ Collaboration** (70.9 M citizens) •

- Start date: March 2017
- Countries involved: Poland, Slovakia, Hungary, Lithuania ullet
- Objectives for collaboration include horizon scanning, informed purchasing, joint public procurement and contracting. ۲
- The focus is currently on joint pricing negotiations. lacksquare

## **Baltic Partnership** (6.2 M citizens)

- Start date: May 2012 ۲
- Countries involved: Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania  $\bullet$
- The main coordinated initiative is related to joint procurement and contracting ۲

## Nordic Council (26.6 M citizens)

- Start date: March 2017
- Countries involved: Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden
- The main coordinated initiative is related to joint procurement and contracting

![](_page_22_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_31.jpeg)

www.efpia.eu

# Member States joint initiatives: voluntary cooperation at EU level

## **Potential Opportunities**

- Broaden overall access to therapies for patients
- Commercial opportunity by increasing market size and volume
- Harmonisation and streamlining of REA, HTA, pricing negotiations, purchasing and contracting processes – particularly beneficial for smaller companies
- Workload sharing among authorities of participating **Member States**
- Support for better budgetary forecasts through horizon scanning

- Unclear process:
  - \_

- budget forecasts

## **Potential Challenges**

Lack of governance and methodology to initiate, conduct and conclude pilot projects

No obligation for participating Member States to adopt the outcomes of joint reports

Unclear legal basis and framework in particular interactions with current EU (e.g. Transparency directive, public procurement legislation) and national legislations

Duplication and /or no consideration for existing pan-European initiatives (e.g. EUnetHTA, REA)

Limited of (successful) real-life experience (two pilots in BeNeLuxA, one expected pilot in Nordic Council)

Lack of clear impact of horizon scanning activities on

Risks of distortion in supply, trade and competition if no appropriate conditions for purchasing and contracting (e.g. sound tender criteria)

Larger Member States increasingly interested in participating to cross-border collaborations

Spill-over to innovative medicines

# **Outline of EFPIA's Vision & Key Priorities**

## **Vision** Shift the healthcare policy debate from a transactions focus to an outcomes focus

## **Patient Access**

## Innovation

Objective	KPI	Status	Deliverables	Status
Reduce market access	& Patient WAIT Indicator (e.g. EU weighted average)	•	Conduct benchmarking based on WAIT indicator	
delays for innovative medicines			Monitor implementation of Transparency Directive (delays)     In Member States	
			* Advocate for improved access in problematic countries	
Increase uptake for	∆ Composite uptake indicator (Patient/WAIT + IMS turnover)		Conduct benchmarking based on composite indicator	•
nnovative medicines			<ul> <li>Address lack of uptake in problematic countries through advocacy</li> </ul>	•
mprove alignment of	å changes in countries		Identify and address bad practices in Member States	
national HTA systems with EFPIA. HTA principles			<ul> <li>Develop pragmatic HTA model for CEE countries (fitting into the PAR process) and initiate dialogue with key priority countries</li> </ul>	
Mitigate spill-over effects of international	% countries complying with		<ul> <li>Define acceptable practices in IRP and monitor their implementation</li> </ul>	
reference prizing (IRP)	acceptable IRP practices		<ul> <li>Identify 3 countries whose IRP system has the most negative industry impact (in country and spill-over)</li> </ul>	
			<ul> <li>Develop action plan with relevant national associations to implement acceptable practices (in particular maintain confidentiality of net prices)</li> </ul>	
			Influence future EU reflection on impact of IRP (Working Party on Public Health at Senior Level)	•
Ensure legislation on biologics complies with EFPIA principles	% of countries complying with principles		<ul> <li>Develop policy principles for efficient and sustainable biosimilars markets (evold policy treating biosimilars as generics)</li> </ul>	

Objective	KPI	Status	Deliverables	Status	Objective	KPI	Statu
vive collaborative redicines development	IMI-2 tramework set up (0/1)		<ul> <li>Complete II/I legislative package, ensuring flexibility and key IP features</li> </ul>	•	Ensure TTIP includes key commitments to strengthen regulatory compatibility. IP	% industry regulatory	
cross sectors	% Enablers of MAPPs (development, Itenting & access) addressed in IM Devices		Agree IM2 project portfolio (incl. MAPPa programme)     supported by comparing evidence inactionalian	110		negotiated in TTIP	
edicines development tross sectors soluce time to market ir new medications truding new dications mixe global regulatory onvergence between U & US horten time for porrvari of chincal					alignment, and promotes transparency and access to innovative medicines	% industry IP proposals negotiated in TTIP	
educe time to market r new medications	# Products submitted for EMA		<ul> <li>Implementation of AL pilot project in line with MAPPs principles</li> </ul>		Innovative medicines Strangthen EU suppor	% core transparency and P&R principles	
cluding new dications	adaptive scensing plot		<ul> <li>Launch IMI2 MAPPs programme</li> </ul>	•	Charles and the Pillin and and	negotiated in TTIP	
rive global regulatory onvergence between U & US	% of EFPIA- PhRMA objectives included in TTIP		<ul> <li>Ensure MRA on GMPs, paediatric and CT data fields in line with EPPIA-PhRMA objectives</li> </ul>		for IP through a balanced narrative on access to medicines	IP objectives with industry objectives	
thorten time for pproval of clinical rials	# days for approval of clinical trials		Drive implementation of CT regulation, including efficient operation of EMA's CT database		and the role of IP in fostering economic development and EU competitiveness		
					Leverage regulatory reforms to align with	% alignment with ICH guidelines and	
				and improve IP in China, while positioning industry as trustworthy	approximation to EU regulatory system		

Develop EU and national competitiveness policies for the pharma industry, focusing on patient access for new products

Modernise the research, development and regulatory model to restore Europe's competitiveness and speed up access to medicines

Secure improved market access conditions, high regulatory and IP standards in international growth markets

## Working groups

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

## International

![](_page_24_Picture_13.jpeg)

**Ethics &** Compliance

Enhance ethical behaviour within a self-regulation (industry) framework to increase reputation and credibility of the pharmaceutical sector

# **EFPIA PRIZE**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

# The EFPIA AWARD will be given to a student of the European College of Parma Foundation for a DASE Thesis covering an area of particular interest to the pharmaceutical industry.

This Award will be open to students who have followed the Seminar on "EU Pharmaceutical Policy", and who will submit their Thesis for evaluation within 6 months following the Academic year.

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Procedure & Evaluation**

- **Subject of the Thesis** – an area of particular interest to the pharmaceutical industry, chosen by the student – EN / FR
- **<u>Guidance & support</u>** – the Thesis will be written under the supervision of (a) Professor(s) of the College Within admissible boundaries, EFPIA will offer access to information,
  - including organisation of contacts, where appropriate
- •
- **Academic evaluation** the Thesis will be evaluated under the general rules applicable at the College, without intervention of EFPIA Minimum mark for participation: 15/20 or higher
- Following the pre-selection at academic level, EFPIA evaluation process, involving the EFPIA Award Jury (including relevant expertise)
- **Evaluation criteria:** 
  - Comprehensiveness
  - **Coherence of argumentation**
  - Understanding of fundamental issues
  - Introduction of new dimensions (innovative solutions)

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_14.jpeg)

# **THE PRIZE – What does the Laureate get**

## The Prize for the winning Thesis includes:

- **Distribution of the Thesis** – communication of the Thesis to all EFPIA members and posting on the EFPIA website
- **A remunerated stage** – a 12-month employment contract with EFPIA (which could partly be at one of EFPIA's member associations or companies)
  - Including a net monthly remuneration of € 1,750 (net) + basic package (including group insurance)
  - Where appropriate, other allocations can be agreed, such as contribution for accommodation in Brussels.

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank you for your time and attention!

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)